

## An assessment of local forecaster's ability to anticipate convective event severity using the Hazardous Weather Outlook product at WFO Binghamton, NY

#### **Background / Motivation**

- The NWS is collaborating with researchers at SUNY Albany to examine convective events in environments with low predictive skill in the northeast U.S.
- This research is designed to aid with that larger project by identifying characteristics of well-forecast vs. poorly forecast events in the Binghamton, New York county warning area.
- Questions to be answered by this study: How good is our ability to anticipate severe convection? What factors influence our ability to anticipate the magnitude of events.



Forecasts have been poor in June and especially August, better in spring and fall.



500 mb and vorticity 6/24 18z



Sea-level pressure 6/24 18z

# RAP KBGM RME KROC KERI KMDT



RAP sounding at BGM valid at 6/24 20z. Note the moderately large instability (MLCAPE value of 2509 J/kg) and weak wind field. A 20-25 kt low-level jet can be seen around 800 mb, but mid-level winds were light. 0-3 km bulk wind shear values at this time were approximately 10 kt.

Mike Evans NOAA/NWS Binghamton, NY

#### **Outline / methodology**

Examine 0-24 h Hazardous Weather Outlook products issued on the midnight shift from 2011-2014.

Define a "warning" anytime the local Hazardous Weather Outlook includes "severe", "large hail", or "damaging wind". Define an "event" as any day when 5 or more severe

weather reports were received. (Source: storm data). A false alarm occurs when a "warning" was issued and

fewer than 5 severe weather reports were received on that day.

#### Example of a low predictability event – June 24, 2013

severe weather events.





SPC outlook – all severe



SPC outlook - hail



"SCATTERED THUNDERSTORMS ARE EXPECTED TODAY WITH BEST CHANCES THIS AFTERNOON... SOME MAY CONTAIN HEAVY RAINFALL"

**BGM Hazardous Weather Outlook** issued at 422 AM June 24, 2013.

### Results



## **Factors that determine predictability**

Environmental characteristics: (Source: 0-6 hr RAP / NAM proximity soundings)



"Good" forecasts (warning issued and event occurred) tend to occur when MLCAPE and 0-3 km shear are both large. Events with severe weather achieved") both featured less MLCAPE and less 0-3 km shear.

This diagram shows the distribution of good and bad forecasts as a function of MLCAPE and 0-3 km shear. The good forecasts occurred with large MLCAPE and shear, while several missed events occurred with moderate MLCAPE and weak shear (pulse severe environments).



KBGM reflectivity – 6/24 19z

#### June 24<sup>th</sup>, 2013 -22 large hail reports

- -16 damaging wind reports
- 7 days since the previous
- severe weather occurrence.

## Summary: Predictability may be a function of:

**The season** – More predictable in spring and fall Severe weather frequency – More predictable in periods of frequent severe weather occurrence. **The environment** – More predictable in large MLCAPE / large-shear environments. Weak shear events are often missed.

## Future work:

This study will be a part of a larger collaborative study between the NWS and SUNY Albany on severe convection in scenarios with low predictive skill.





Note: Varying the threshold for what determines a severe event changes the POD and FAR:

Threshold = 1 report: POD = 0.55, FAR = 0.38 Threshold = 5 reports: POD = 0.63, FAR = 0.54 Threshold = 10 reports: POD = 0.76, FAR = 0.63 Threshold = 15 reports: POD = 0.79, FAR = 0.76

Major events are more likely to be forecast than marginally severe events. Raising the event threshold results in many false alarms.

